

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,105	09/06/2005	William Dean Miller	P9450-0205	1931
62993 RUCHATTEI	62993 7590 12/20/2007 BUCHALTER NEMER		EXAMINER	
18400 VON KARMAN AVE.			BAXTER, GWENDOLYN WRENN	
SUITE 800 IRVINE, CA	92612		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, c			3632	
				<u> </u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u>' '</u>					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	0 m	10/522,105	MILLER, WILLIAM DEAN		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Gwendolyn Baxter	3632		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING DA	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 O	ctober 2007.			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-12,14-30 and 32-34</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>13, 31</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accelerate accelerate any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice (3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4)	ate		

10/522,105 Art Unit: 3632

This is the second Office Action for application serial number 10/522,105, Base Assemblies, Methods of Production and Uses Thereof filed January 24, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 7-9, 14, 16, 17, 19-23, 25-27, 32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,095,482 to LaGrotta et al. LaGrotta teaches a base assembly comprising a frame system (12), a plurality of receiving members (14), and at least one coupling apparatus (42, bolt system). The receiving members are coupled to the frame system. The coupling apparatus is designed to couple or to facilitate coupling of the base assembly to a load component (40). The frame system comprises at least two parallel beams (12) and at least one cross beam (30). The frame system comprises a square, rectangular, triangular or a hexagonal configuration. The frame system is rectangular. The receiving member comprises channels (16). The receiving members are structurally reinforced (col. 2, line

10/522,105 Art Unit: 3632

40+). The base member comprises steel. The load component (40) comprises a remote enclosure system. The method claims are rejected based upon the predication on the structural recitation for patentability since the structure is critical to the steps being performed. LaGrotta's base assembly provides consistent aeration and reasonably dry conditions under the load component.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 15, 18, 24 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LaGrotta. LaGrotta teaches the limitations of the base claim, excluding at least one additional frame system or the load component is wine, liquor or beer barrel or drum. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have an additional frame system, since it has been held that mere duplication of the working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. Additionally, the mere recitation of types of load components to be placed upon the base assembly does not show in the invention that it would be critical to the functionality of the device. In fact, the various load components is considered intended

10/522,105 Art Unit: 3632

use choices. Consequently, the load components fail to render itself patentably distinguishable over the aforementioned references. The method claims are rejected based upon the predication on the structural recitation for patentability since the structure is critical to the steps being performed.

Claims 10, 11, 12, 28, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LaGrotta in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,393,999 to Forshee. LaGrotta teaches the limitations of the base claim, excluding the coupling apparatus comprising at least one of a soldering joint, a bolt/nut/washer apparatus, an adhesive component, a molding component, a grip component or a combination thereof.

Forshee teaches a base assembly comprising coupling apparatus consisting of a nut (76)/bolt (68)/washer (not numbered). See figure 5. There appears to be a washer beneath the head of the screw. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the coupling apparatus as taught by LaGrotta to have incorporated the nut/bolt/washer as taught by Forshee for the purpose of holding down the load component. The method claims are rejected based upon the predication on the structural recitation for patentability since the structure is critical to the steps being performed. Regarding claims 12 and 30, as the coupling apparatus as taught by LaGrotta in view of Forshee is tighten on member 30, it will create a small degree of sheer or deformation since the material is lightweight in nature.

10/522,105 Art Unit: 3632

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13 and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 9, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states "In column 3 and 4 of LaGrotta – the inventors point out that the ballast or concrete that supports the equipment mounting structure with equipment is porous and therefore acts as a heat sink. Therefore, the heat from the equipment is not aerated from the equipment mounting structure, but is instead forced down into the concrete or porous ballast and into the earth." In column 4, lines 7+, states "An advantage of ballasted structures in accordance with this invention is that ballast, in contrast to poured concrete, is quite porous and can serve as a heat sink for adding to or removing heat from the circulating air." Therefore, LaGrotta is not merely a heat sink as indicated by applicant, but it is capable of providing consistent aeration and reasonably dry conditions under the load component.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

10/522,105 Art Unit: 3632

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gwendolyn Baxter whose telephone number is 571-272-6814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday, 8:30am - 3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 571-272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/522,105

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 57/1-272-1000.

Gwendolyn Baxter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632

December 12, 2007